

2022

Implicit bias and stigma theory in clinical practice: Developing “habits of mind” for clinical inquiry

James Peter Meza

Wayne State University School of Medicine, jmeza@med.wayne.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/crp>



Part of the [Medical Education Commons](#), and the [Translational Medical Research Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

MEZA JP. From the editor: Implicit bias and stigma theory in clinical practice: Developing “habits of mind” for clinical inquiry. *Clin Res Prac*. Mar 14 2023;8(2):eP3280. <https://doi.org/10.22237/crp/1678752000>

This From the Editors is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Journals at DigitalCommons@WayneState. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates by an authorized editor of DigitalCommons@WayneState.

FROM THE EDITOR:

Implicit bias and stigma theory in clinical practice: Developing “habits of mind” for clinical inquiry

JAMES P. MEZA, MD, PhD, Wayne State University School of Medicine, jmeza@med.wayne.edu

“Implicit” means that we are not consciously aware of how these cognitive preferences become manifest in behaviors and [clinical] decisions.¹ The evidence is conclusive about how cognitive biases affect clinical outcomes.²⁻⁸ Those clinical outcomes are preceded by clinical decisions made by doctors and other healthcare providers, so Clinical Decision Science must consider the role of implicit bias in practice. Implicit bias has a wealth of research within the social sciences; a closely related concept is stigma theory which provides a cultural explanation for the adverse clinical outcomes observed.

Clinical Decision Science is different from Evidence-Based Medicine because Clinical Decision Science explicitly recognizes the social context of clinical decisions—clinical practice is a social practice; social practices include the social construction of implicit bias.

To make the implicit explicit, clinicians need to embrace social theory. Social theory allows for self-reflection and learning for a more fulsome description of how clinical decisions are made in the real (social) world. Social theories such as stigma theory provide clinicians with tools to do self-reflective work to recognize the embedded social injustices of clinical practice.⁹⁻¹² An excellent example illustrating this concept is provided by Aaron Rivkin in this issue.⁹ For this reason, *Clinical Research in Practice—The Journal of Team Hippocrates* has initiated a new type of feature article labeled Translational Social Science.

Some argue that social science is not medicine. Just as the Flexner Report, published in 1910, added the basic sciences to medical education—“To develop these habits of mind, medical students needed to be educated to approach problems through inquiry”¹³—The Carnegie Report (“Flexner Report 2.0”) published in 2010 argues that social sciences be added to the standard medical curriculum. They found a lack of integration in medical education, leading to “fragmented understanding of patient experiences.” To rectify that problem, they recommend that medical education “integrate basic, clinical, and social science.”¹⁴ By integrating basic, clinical, and social science we hope to foster “habits of mind” that allow doctors to positively impact the outcomes for our patients. The editorial board of this journal will continue to encourage clinicians to explore the whole domain of clinical decision science.

References

1. Garcia R, Bartkowiak C, Nesbitt A. The importance of stigma theory for clinical decisions related to diabetic foot amputations. *Clin Res Prac*. Dec 6 2022;8(2):eP3047. <https://doi.org/10.22237/crp/1667260800>
2. Beohar N, Sansing VV, Davis AM, Srinivas VS, Helmy T, Althouse AD, Thomas SB, Brooks MM, BARI 2D Study Group. Race/ethnic disparities in risk factor control and survival in the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation 2 diabetes (BARI 2D) trial. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013 Nov 1;112(9):1298-305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.05.071>

Clinical Research in Practice Editor JAMES P. MEZA, MD, PhD is an Associate Professor in the Department of Family Medicine and Public Health Science at Wayne State University School of Medicine.



ISSN: 2379-4550

<http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/crp>, © 2023 The Author(s)

Licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \(CC-BY-4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

MEZA JP. From the editor: Implicit bias and stigma theory in clinical practice: Developing “habits of mind” for clinical inquiry. *Clin Res Prac*. Mar 14 2023;8(2):eP3280.
<https://doi.org/10.22237/crp/1678752000>

3. Ferguson MK, Demchuk C, Wroblewski K, Huisingh-Scheetz M, Thompson K, Farnan J, Acevedo J. Does Race Influence Risk Assessment and Recommendations for Lung Resection? A Randomized Trial. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2018 Oct;106(4):1013-1017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2018.04.087>
4. Hirsh AT, Miller MM, Hollingshead NA, Anastas T, Carnell ST, Lok BC, Chu C, Zhang Y, Robinson ME, Kroenke K, Ashburn-Nardo L. A randomized controlled trial testing a virtual perspective-taking intervention to reduce race and socioeconomic status disparities in pain care. *Pain*. 2019 Oct;160(10):2229-2240. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001634>
5. Lee JJ, Long AC, Curtis JR, Engelberg RA. The Influence of Race/Ethnicity and Education on Family Ratings of the Quality of Dying in the ICU. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2016 Jan;51(1):9-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.08.008>
6. Najdowski CJ, Bernstein KM. Race, social class, and child abuse: Content and strength of medical professionals' stereotypes. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2018 Dec;86:217-222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.10.006>
7. Saju R, Castellon-Lopez Y, Turk N, Moin T, Mangione CM, Norris KC, Vu A, Maranon R, Fu J, Cheng F, Duru OK. Differences in Weight Loss by Race and Ethnicity in the PRIDE Trial: a Qualitative Analysis of Participant Perspectives. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2022 Nov;37(14):3715-3722. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-022-07521-5>
8. Zheutlin AR, Mondesir FL, Derington CG, King JB, Zhang C, Cohen JB, Berlowitz DR, Anstey DE, Cushman WC, Green TH, Ogedegbe O, Bress AP. Analysis of Therapeutic Inertia and Race and Ethnicity in the Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022 Jan 4;5(1):e2143001. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.43001>
9. Rivkin A. Reflection on Clinical Decision Science: Stigma is a very real part of clinical practice. *Clin. Res. Prac*. Mar 14 2023;8(2):eP3279. <https://doi.org/10.22237/crp/1678752060>
10. Steffes PA. Reflection on Clinical Decision Science: Substance abuse relapse is not about willpower but is the culmination of psychological and socioeconomic stress. *Clin Res Prac*. Oct 13 2021;7(2):eP2867. <https://doi.org/10.22237/crp/1633977018>
11. Meza JP, Zehner R, Daniel A, Nease D, Lau J. Google Translate "Schwartzfahrer" and the Exploration of Racism and Belonging in a Different Culture. Paper presented at: Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM) Annual Spring Conference; Apr 30 - May 4, 2022; Indianapolis, IN.
12. Singal J. What if Diversity Training Is Doing More Harm Than Good? *New York Times*. January 17, 2023. <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/01/17/opinion/dei-trainings-effective.html>
13. Flexner A. *Medical Education in the United States and Canada Bulletin Number Four*. Boston, Mass: Updyke; 1910. http://archive.carnegiefoundation.org/publications/pdfs/elibrary/Carnegie_Flexner_Report.pdf
14. Irby DM, Cooke M, O'Brien BC. Calls for reform of medical education by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching: 1910 and 2010. *Acad Med*. 2010 Feb;85(2):220-7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181c88449>

