

Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates

Volume 3 | Issue 1 Article 7

2017

The ethics of offering futile treatment

Rebekah L. Eddy
Wayne State University, reddy@med.wayne.edu

Viktoria Krajnc Wayne State University, vkrajnc@med.wayne.edu

Lauren Smith
Wayne State University, lsmith@med.wayne.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/crp

Part of the <u>Critical Care Commons</u>, <u>Family Medicine Commons</u>, <u>Medical Education Commons</u>, and the Translational Medical Research Commons

Recommended Citation

Eddy RL, Krajnc V, Smith L. The ethics of offering futile treatment. Clin. Res. Prac. 2017;3(1):eP1267. doi: 10.22237/crp/1484093040

This Reflection is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Journals at DigitalCommons@WayneState. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clinical Research in Practice: The Journal of Team Hippocrates by an authorized editor of DigitalCommons@WayneState.

VOL 3 ISS 1 / eP1267 / JANUARY 11, 2017 doi: 10.22237/crp/1484093040

REFLECTION:

The ethics of offering futile treatment

REBEKAH L. EDDY, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, reddy@med.wayne.edu VIKTORIA KRAJNC, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, vkrajnc@med.wayne.edu LAUREN SMITH, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, Ismith@med.wayne.edu

On an inpatient medicine service we cared for an elderly man with major cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's dementia. He was admitted from a nursing home for sepsis and hypoxia secondary to healthcare-associated pneumonia. Throughout the course of his hospital admission, he was intubated and extubated twice, and failed two swallowing studies. The patient did not have a designated power of attorney for healthcare and was unable to make his own medical choices. His family struggled with decision-making. Before his discharge back to his nursing home, the patient's family was faced with a major decision: whether or not to place a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube for enteral feeding.

According to the 2009 Cochrane library review (Issue 2) entitled, "Enteral tube feeding for older people with advanced dementia," there is inconclusive evidence for PEG tube placement improving survival, quality of life, improving nourishment, or decreasing the risk of pressure sores in elderly patients with advanced dementia. There are risks of increasing aspiration pneumonia¹. PEG tube placement in patients such as the one we cared for may not benefit the patient, but expose him to potential harm. Despite our best attempts to inform the family of potential risks of benefit and risks of harm, the family decided to have a PEG tube placed, thereby preventing their relative from "starv[ing] to death."

PEG tube placement for enteral feeding in elderly patients with advanced dementia is a common practice. One attending physician who was aware of this evidence said, "Everybody does it anyway." This discrepancy between evidence-based recommendations and what is actually done clinically is concerning. The Cochrane review stated, "...clinicians may be aware of the risks but feel pressured by institutional, societal or even legal directives to intervene." It is difficult for patients, their families, as well as healthcare providers, to discuss feeding without some level of emotion. The idea of someone starving to death is abhorrent to us and, for some, PEG tubeassociated risks may appear to be the "lesser of two evils." Despite this, it is our duty to provide patients and families with up-todate, objective medical recommendations so they may make well-informed decisions. Perhaps if we explain that we cannot prolong survival, but risk prolonging dying, our patients and their families may be able to face their fears of mortality. It important to acknowledge our own emotional biases and be cognizant of how we present options to patients and their families, so that they may provide truly informed consent.

References

Sampson EL, Candy B, Jones L. Enteral tube feeding for older people with advanced dementia. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009;2:CD007209. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007209.pub2

REBEKAH J. EDDY, VIKTORIA KRAINC, and LAUREN SMITH are fourth year medical students at Wayne State University School of Medicine.

