

1-1-2018

# Collaboration Between Health Sciences Librarians and Faculty as Reflected by Articles Published in the Journal of the Medical Library Association

Katherine G. Akers

Wayne State University, katherine.akers@wayne.edu

Molly Higgins

Library of Congress, mhiggins@crs.loc.gov

Jennifer A. DeVito

Stony Brook University, jennifer.a.devito@stonybrook.edu

Sally Stieglitz

Adelphi University, sstieglitz@adelphi.edu

Robert Tolliver

Stony Brook University, robert.tolliver@stonybrook.edu

*See next page for additional authors*

---

## Recommended Citation

Akers, Katherine G.; Higgins, Molly; DeVito, Jennifer A.; Stieglitz, Sally; Tolliver, Robert; and Tran, Clara Y., "Collaboration Between Health Sciences Librarians and Faculty as Reflected by Articles Published in the Journal of the Medical Library Association" (2018). *Library Scholarly Publications*. 137.  
<https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/libsp/137>

---

**Authors**

Katherine G. Akers, Molly Higgins, Jennifer A. DeVito, Sally Stieglitz, Robert Tolliver, and Clara Y. Tran

## Editorial, Journal of the Medical Library Association

### Collaboration between health sciences librarians and faculty as reflected by articles published in the *Journal of the Medical Library Association*

Katherine G. Akers, Molly Higgins, Jennifer A. DeVito, Sally Stieglitz, Robert Tolliver, Clara Y. Tran

#### Abstract

A recent study by Higgins and colleagues reports that the *Journal of the Medical Library Association (JMLA)* had the highest percentage of articles with both librarian and faculty co-authors out of 13 peer-reviewed journals in STEM librarianship and education between 2005 and 2014. A deeper and updated analysis of *JMLA* research articles and case studies published between 2008 and 2017 revealed that 29% of articles had both librarian and faculty co-authors. The main topics of librarian-faculty collaboration as described in these articles were related to patient and consumer health information and clinical information-seeking and decision-making by healthcare providers. Most faculty co-authors came from the disciplines of biomedical/health informatics and biostatistics and library and information science. The publication of these articles in *JMLA* provides evidence of health sciences librarians and information specialists' ability to collaborate with faculty members to advance the knowledgebase and practice of librarianship and the health sciences.

-----

Last year, Higgins and colleagues found that out of 13 peer-reviewed journals in STEM librarianship and education, the *Journal of the Medical Library Association (JMLA)* had the highest percentage (12%) of articles with both librarian and faculty co-authors between 2005 and 2014 [1]. They also found that over half of the articles examined appeared in health sciences journals (including *Health Information and Libraries Journal* and *Medicine Reference Services Quarterly*) as opposed to science and engineering journals, suggesting that “medical librarians as a professional group have been accepted by medical faculty and clinicians within research, education, and clinical teams” [1].

As the editor of the *JMLA*, I was thrilled to see our journal at the top of this list, which provides evidence of health sciences librarians and information specialists' ability to collaborate with faculty members to advance the knowledgebase and practice of librarianship and the health sciences. At the same time, however, some questions came to mind: What are the topics of these collaborative articles? What are the most common disciplines of collaborating faculty members? To answer these questions, I asked Higgins and her co-authors to share their data [2] (they said “yes”!), and I set about performing additional analyses over a more recent time span (between 2008 and 2017).


## **Librarian-faculty collaborative articles in the *JMLA***

The line between being a librarian versus being a faculty member can be fuzzy. For the purpose of this analysis, I defined “librarian” as practicing librarians, library directors, full-time library staff, informationists, information specialists, curators, archivists, National Library of Medicine staff and fellows, or Medical Library Association staff, regardless of whether their positions were tenure-track. I defined “faculty” as non-practitioner professors in Library and Information Science (LIS), professors in any other non-LIS discipline, or physicians. Data visualization was performed using Tableau software [3].

Between 2008 and 2017, I found that 29% (n = 109) of research articles and case studies published in the *JMLA* (n = 374) had both librarian and faculty co-authors, whereas 58% (n = 222) and 13% (n = 50) of such articles had only librarian authors or no librarian authors, respectively. That is, nearly a third of *JMLA* articles describing research projects or library-driven initiatives were borne out of collaboration between librarians and faculty members or physicians. The proportion of librarian-faculty collaborative articles published in *JMLA* remained fairly stable across this 10-year period despite some year-to-year variation (range, 15-42%), and the number of article co-authors—regardless of their identity—also held steady over time, with an average of 3 authors per article. The much higher proportion of collaborative articles found in this analysis compared with the previous analysis by Higgins et al. [1] (29% vs. 12%) may be due to several factors, including the consideration of LIS professors as faculty and the exclusion of published conference symposia in the present analysis.

## **Topics of librarian-faculty collaborative articles**

To identify the topic areas of librarian-faculty collaborations as described by *JMLA* articles, I categorized each collaborative article by its primary focus. I found that the main topics of collaboration were related to patient and consumer health information (n = 22) and clinical information-seeking and decision-making by healthcare providers (n = 20) (Figure 1). Other topics, in descending order of frequency, pertained to health sciences education (n = 18), information retrieval from databases (n = 14), bibliometric analysis or literature reviews (n = 9), librarian professional development (n = 8), evaluation of health information resources or library collection development (n = 7), scholarly research support services (n = 6), library technical services (n = 3), and other (n = 2). Thus, in contrast to Higgin et al.’s finding that instruction and information literacy were the most common topics of articles published across all STEM journals [1], the collaborative articles published in the *JMLA* pertain largely to connecting healthcare consumers and healthcare providers to medical information to improve the delivery of healthcare.



**Figure 1.** Treemap of the topics of *JMLA* articles with both librarian and faculty co-authors.

### Disciplines of faculty collaborators

To identify the disciplines of the 167 faculty co-authors of the 109 collaborative *JMLA* articles, I classified individual faculty members based on their primary departmental affiliation as provided in the article or, if not available, professional information discerned through Google searches. Perhaps not surprisingly, I found that most faculty co-authors came from the disciplines of biomedical/health informatics and biostatistics ( $n = 29$ ) and LIS ( $n = 27$ ) (Figure 2). Other common disciplines of faculty co-authors were public health and epidemiology ( $n = 17$ ), health sciences education ( $n = 13$ ), internal and family medicine ( $n = 13$ ), nursing and allied health ( $n = 13$ ), veterinary medicine ( $n = 11$ ), and pediatrics ( $n = 9$ ). Less common but still participating disciplines were pharmacy and pharmacology ( $n = 7$ ), neurology and neurosurgery ( $n = 4$ ), cell and molecular biology ( $n = 3$ ), emergency medicine ( $n = 3$ ), healthcare delivery and policy ( $n = 3$ ), ophthalmology and optometry ( $n = 3$ ), psychiatry ( $n = 3$ ), oncology ( $n = 2$ ), surgery ( $n = 2$ ), and other ( $n = 5$ ).



associated with higher-quality literature searches [5] and presumably higher-quality medical evidence as a consequence.

To increase the capability and readiness of health sciences librarians and information specialists to work alongside faculty and physicians as members of interdisciplinary research teams, our profession must continue to provide opportunities for research training and mentorship (e.g., [MLA Research Training Institute for Health Sciences Librarians](#)) and to formalize institutional support for research engagement in terms of providing funding, protecting research time, and fostering a “research culture” within libraries [6]. Also, librarians can increase the likelihood of finding collaborating faculty members by leaving the physical confines of the library, serving on campus-wide committees, attending non-library conferences and workshops, publishing in non-library journals, and even pursuing another advanced subject degree [7].

Finally, congratulations to those health sciences librarians and information specialists who have successfully forged partnerships with faculty or physicians and published the outcomes. Keep up the good work!

### **Data Availability Statement**

The data underlying this analysis are available at [insert URL here].

### **References**

1. Higgins M, DeVito, JA, Stieglitz S, Tolliver R, Tran CY. Better together: an examination of collaborative publishing between librarians and STEM and health sciences faculty. *Issues Sci Technol Libr.* 2017 Spring;89.
2. Read KB, Amos L, Federer LM, Logan A, Plutchak TS, Akers KG. Practicing what we preach: developing a data sharing policy for the *Journal of the Medical Library Association*. *J Med Libr Assoc.* 2018 Apr;106(2):155-158. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2018.431>
3. Deardorff A. Tableau (version 9.1). *J Med Libr Assoc.* 2016 Apr;104(2):182-183. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2016.75>
4. Chang Y-W. Exploring the interdisciplinary characteristics of library and information science (LIS) from the perspective of interdisciplinary LIS authors. *Libr Info Sci Res.* 2018 Apr;40(2):125-134.
5. Rethlefsen ML, Farrell AM, Osterhaus Trzasko LC, Brigham TJ. Librarian co-authors correlated with higher quality reported search strategies in general internal medicine systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2015 June;68(6):617-626.
6. Lorenzetti DL, Rutherford G. Information professionals’ participation in interdisciplinary research: a preliminary study of factors affecting successful collaborations. *Health Info Libr J.* 2012;29:274-284.

7. Fonseca VP, Viator VP. Escaping the island of lost faculty: collaboration as a means of visibility. *Collab Libr.* 2009;1(3):81-90.

Post-Print