

Kinesiology, Health and Sport Studies

College of Education

7-6-2015

# Yoga and Cognition: A Meta-analysis of Chronic and Acute Effects

Neha Gothe
Wayne State University, nehagothe@wayne.edu

Edward McAuley University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana

### Recommended Citation

Gothe, Neha and McAuley, Edward, "Yoga and Cognition: A Meta-analysis of Chronic and Acute Effects" (2015). Kinesiology, Health and Sport Studies. Paper 48.

http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/coe\_khs/48

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Education at DigitalCommons@WayneState. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kinesiology, Health and Sport Studies by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@WayneState.

Running Head: Yoga and Cognition

Yoga and Cognition: A Meta-analysis of Chronic and Acute Effects

Neha P. Gothe, PhD<sup>1\*</sup> & Edward McAuley, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Kinesiology, Health and Sport Studies, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI

<sup>2</sup>Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana

Champaign, IL

\*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Neha P. Gothe,

Division of Kinesiology, Health and Sport Studies

Wayne State University, Detroit, MI – 48202

Email: nehagothe@wayne.edu

Tel: 313-577-6222

Fax: 313-577-9301

## **Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. No financial support was received for this research.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To review and synthesize the existing literature on the effects of yoga on cognitive function by determining effect sizes that could serve as a platform to design, calculate statistical power, and implement future studies.

**Methods:** Through electronic databases we identified acute studies and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of yoga that reported cognitive outcomes. Inclusion criteria included: use of an objective measure of cognition and sufficient data reported to estimate an effect size. The meta-analysis was conducted using Comprehensive Meta-analysis software, a random-effects model was used to calculate the overall weighted effect sizes, expressed as Hedge's *g*.

**Results:** 15 RCTs and 7 acute exposure studies examined effects of yoga on cognition. A moderate effect (g=.33, se=.08, 95%CI=.18 -.48, p <.001) of yoga on cognition was observed for RCTs, with the strongest effect for attention and processing speed (g=.29, p<.001), followed by executive function (g=.27, p=.001) and memory (g=.18, p=.051). Acute studies showed a stronger overall effect of yoga on cognition (g=.56, se=.11, 95%CI=.33 - .78, p<.001). The effect was strongest for memory (g=.78, p<.001), followed by attention and processing speed measures (g=.49, p<.001) and executive functions (g=.39, p<.003).

Conclusions: Yoga practice appears to be associated with modest improvements in cognitive function. Although the studies are limited by sample size; heterogeneous population characteristics; varied doses of yoga interventions; and a myriad of cognitive tests, these findings warrant rigorous systematic RCTs and well-designed counterbalanced acute studies to comprehensively explore yoga as a means to improve or sustain cognitive abilities across the lifespan.

**Keywords:** executive function, memory, mind-body exercise, effect size

#### Introduction

The National Institutes of Health define complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) (1) as a group of diverse medical and health care systems, practices, and products that are not presently considered to be part of conventional medicine. CAMs encompass a range of mind-body methodologies, such as yoga, tai-chi, and meditation that may be beneficial to the health of their practitioners. Yoga is an ancient Indian science and way of life that includes the practice of specific postures, regulated breathing and meditation. It is designed to bring balance and health to the physical, mental, emotional, and spiritual dimensions of the individual. Yoga is often depicted metaphorically as a tree and comprises eight aspects: *yama* (universal ethics), *niyama* (individual ethics), *asana* (physical postures), *pranayama* (breath control), *pratyahara* (control of the senses), *dharana* (concentration), *dyana* (meditation), and *samadhi* (bliss) (2). Yoga maybe an alternative form of physical activity which may assist in achieving recommended levels of physical activity for individuals who have disabilities or symptoms that prevent them from performing traditional forms of exercise.

Few systematic and comprehensive reviews of scientific research on yoga have been published recently. Lin et al. (3) conducted a meta-analysis assessing the effects of yoga on psychological health, quality of life and physical health of patients with cancer. They concluded that the yoga groups showed significantly greater improvements in psychological health: anxiety, depression, distress, and stress when compared to the waitlist or supportive groups. Posadzki and Ernst (4) conducted a systematic review to assess the effectiveness of yoga as a treatment option for low back pain. The authors concluded that yoga does lead to a significantly greater reduction in low back pain than usual care, education or conventional therapeutic exercises. The effect of practicing yoga for the management of type II diabetes was assessed in a systematic review and

the authors concluded that short-term benefits for patients with diabetes may be achieved from practicing yoga (5). Ross and Thomas (6) reviewed studies comparing the effects of yoga and exercise and concluded that, in both healthy and diseased populations; yoga may be as effective as or better than aerobic exercise at improving a variety of health-related outcome measures. While yoga has been extensively employed as an adjunct therapy for diseased patients and physical symptoms, there are no published reviews that address the effects of this mind-body therapy on cognitive functioning.

Research on physical activity and cognition emerged in the late 1970's with Spirduso's (7) pioneering study suggesting that older adults who regularly participated in physical activity had faster psychomotor speed, relative to their sedentary counterparts, on simple and choice reaction-time tests. Since then, numerous studies have been conducted to examine the relationship between chronic or long term physical activity as well as acute or transient effects of physical activity on cognitive performance. Reviews have concluded that a positive relationship exists between chronic (8-12) as well as acute bouts of physical activity (13,14) and cognition. Physical activity in these reviews has been defined primarily as walking and strength training and little is known about physical activity based CAM therapies like yoga. Mind-body therapies such as yoga involve an active attentional component and therefore may incur cognitive benefits over and above the habitual bodily movements involved in traditional forms of exercise. Hatha yoga is the most common form of yoga practiced in North America and involves the practice of physical postures in conjunction with awareness of the breath to help develop mental focus and to connect the mind, body, and spirit (15). There are many different styles of hatha yoga characterized by the rate at which postures are performed, environmental temperature, physical intensity and level of difficulty, emphasis on body alignment and relaxation, and use of props.

However, postures, breathing and meditative exercises are the three basic elements common to most styles of yoga practice. Yoga therapy enables the practitioner to move slowly and safely into the modified postures concentrating initially on relaxing their body, breathing fully, and developing awareness of the sensations in their body and thoughts in their mind. Yoga requires focused effort in completing the pose, controlling the body, and breathing at a steady rate. In addition, breathing (pranayama) and meditation exercises are practiced to calm and focus the mind and develop greater self-awareness (16). This focused effort and attentional practice of yoga could generalize to conventionally assessed cognitive functions including attention, memory and higher order executive functions.

The purpose of this meta-analysis was to review the existing evidence-base examining the effectiveness of yoga in improving cognitive performance. We examined the overall effect sizes for chronic (long term yoga interventions) and acute (immediate, single bouts) yoga practice as well as the effect sizes for specific domains of cognitive performance, including attention and processing speed, executive function, and memory that could serve as a platform for designing, powering and implementing future studies. We also identify the limitations and methodological issues observed across studies and provide future directions to examine not only the effects of yoga on cognition but also the underlying mechanisms explaining the yogacognition relationship.

#### Method

Literature Search and Study Selection

The following databases were used to locate yoga studies that have examined effects on cognition: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, PubMed, Indian Council of Medical Research, and Cochrane from inception to January 2014. We used the search terms that were constructed around two

concepts – yoga and cognition – to identify all the relevant published articles investigating this relationship. Mindfulness and transcendental meditation studies were excluded from this meta-analysis as they have been reviewed elsewhere (17,18). Reference lists of articles were also scanned to locate relevant literature. Unpublished data from dissertations and conference proceedings were also obtained when available.

Study inclusion criteria included: 1) randomized controlled trials or studies using counterbalanced repeated measures designs to examine acute effects of yoga on cognition, 2) objective measures of cognition assessing at least one or more domains using paper-pencil or computer based measures, and 3) sufficient data reported in the studies to estimate an effect size. As the purpose of this review was to examine the state of yoga-cognition literature and provide future direction, we included studies conducted with all age groups and participant characteristics, as long as they met the three basic inclusionary criteria.

## Data abstraction and analysis

For each RCT, authors extracted the following study details: participant characteristics, details of the intervention and control groups, blinding, dropout rate and cognitive measures. Except for dropout, similar information was extracted for acute yoga studies. The quality of the RCTs was assessed using the five-point Jadad scale (19), however blinding of subjects was not considered feasible and the maximum possible score was therefore 4 rather than 5. Cognitive tests used in all studies were classified into 3 categories: i) *attention and processing speed* which is the sustained focus of cognitive resources, selective concentration and rapid processing of information (20), ii) *executive function* which is a set of cognitive skills responsible for the planning, initiation, sequencing, and monitoring of complex, goal-directed behavior, working

memory (i.e., short-term storage and manipulation of information) (21), and iii) *memory* which involves retention, recollection, and recognition of previously encountered information.

Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software package (22) was used to conduct the analyses (BioStat, Englewood, New Jersey). In case of multiple intervention groups, the means and standard deviations were averaged across other study conditions and compared against the yoga condition. Hedge's g was calculated for each cognitive test reported in the articles. The majority of studies used multiple cognitive tests, often spanning each of the three cognitive domains. We therefore calculated: i) an *overall* effect size for each study and *overall* cognition, and ii) an average effect size for each of the three cognitive domains. Study-specific effect size estimates were weighted by the study sample size and combined to form the *overall* study effect size. Forest plots were constructed to display overall effect sizes for acute studies and RCTs. Given the small number of acute studies, forest plots by cognitive domain were constructed only for RCTs. All effect sizes were coded such that positive numbers always reflected improvements in performance, and negative numbers reflected deterioration in performance. As the target populations of the studies varied for both acute and RCTs, a random effects analysis was conducted to provide a conservative estimate of treatment effects (23). Homogeneity of treatment effects was also assessed using the Q statistic.

#### **Results**

Study Selection

Our search generated a total of 40 references. Fourteen studies were excluded as they did not meet the inclusionary criteria: non-randomized trial (n=3; 24-26), not an acute study with repeated measures across all conditions (n=1; 27), case studies or insufficient information to estimate effect sizes (n=5; 28-32), used self-report or behavioral observation to assess cognitive

function (n=2; 33,34) or examined neuroanatomical outcomes such as event related potentials (n=3; 35-37). Four other studies were excluded as they were cross-sectional observational studies conducted with yoga practitioners or teachers (38-41). Thus, a total of 22 studies were retained for the meta-analysis of which 15 were RCTs (42-56) and 7 examined acute yoga effects (57-63) on cognition. One (62) of the 7 acute yoga studies was a peer-reviewed published abstract, but we were able to extract all the necessary data to calculate effect sizes. The overall findings did not differ when the study was included or excluded from the analyses. 11 of the 22 studies were conducted in India, 8 in the US, 2 in the UK and 1 in Slovenia.

#### Study Characteristics

Table 1 and 2 summarize the study characteristics including participant ages, type and duration of the intervention groups (for RCTs) or conditions (for acute studies) and cognitive measures used in the 15 RCTs and 7 acute yoga studies, respectively. A majority of the studies were conducted with adults (12 RCTs and 5 acute) whereas yoga studies with children (<18 years of age) made up the minority (3 RCTs and 2 acute).

RCTs (Table 1) ranged from 1 month to 6 months in duration and commonly employed Hatha yoga (8 of 15 RCTs) protocols. Three RCTs included Iyengar yoga practice; one studied the Integrated Approach of Yoga Therapy and one focused on Sahaj yoga. No yoga protocol or syllabus was reported for two RCTs. Iyengar yoga is a form of Hatha yoga, which utilizes "props" such as chairs, blankets, or straps that support the practitioner in performing the physical postures of yoga. The Sahaj yoga intervention focused on the meditative aspect of yoga practice and the Integrated Approach of Yoga Therapy involved yoga postures, breathing and meditative practice that was combined with educational lectures on healthy lifestyle domains of diet, exercise and stress management. The comparison groups in these RCTs included waitlist,

walking, stretching and strengthening, mindfulness, stationary bike and physical training groups. The frequency and duration of practice also varied widely from 1x/week to 5x/week and classes lasted between 45 to 120 minutes. The format of the intervention varied from group/individual to supervised/home practice within the RCTs.

Among acute studies (Table 2), 5 examined the effects of Hatha yoga and cyclic meditation whereas 2 studies exclusively examined yogic breathing practices. Cyclic meditation is a technique that combines stimulating and calming the mind with the goal of achieving a deeper mental balance or equilibrium. The sessions lasted between 9 rounds of breathing exercises to 45 minutes. Duration of the yoga session was not reported in one acute study.

Table 3 lists the cognitive tests used by study authors to assess attention and processing speed, executive function and memory. The Stroop, Trail Making A and B, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (forward and backward digit span), and Six Letter Cancellation Test were the most commonly used measures that were assessed in 3 studies each. Executive function measures made up the largest pool of measures followed by attention - processing speed and memory tests.

#### Study Quality

Table 4 summarizes the study quality for the 15 RCTs. Jadad scores for the 15 studies ranged from 1 to 4 with a mean of 2.93/4.00 (±.88). A majority of RCTs (13 of 15) used random sampling by advertising in local media outlets. For the remaining two RCTs, participants were recruited from an outpatient clinic (n=1) and no sampling details were reported for the other RCT. One of the 15 studies lacked appropriate randomization, where authors acknowledged the limitation of using block (senior resident homes) randomization technique without accounting for baseline characteristics of the participants. However, the results did not differ when the study

was excluded from the analyses. The remaining 14 studies randomized participants based on age and sex. Blinding of participants to their allotted treatment condition is not feasible in physical activity based trials. This criterion was therefore not evaluated or included in the Jadad scores for the RCTs. Several studies (6 of 15) used a single blind approach by blinding assessors however this was not completely effective as authors reported rare instances where participants disclosed their treatment allocation to their assessors. One study also reported blinding of assessors only at baseline and not at the follow-up time-point. Information about withdrawals and dropouts was reported in 11 of 15 RCTs. This information was inconsistently reported where some studies only included follow up sample sizes, while others followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT; 64) detailing the flow of the study and reasons for dropout or withdrawal.

# Overall Effect Sizes

Overall effect sizes for acute and chronic studies were calculated prior to examining specific cognitive domains. The funnel plot of the 15 ESs versus SEs for the RCTs and 7 ESs and SEs for acute studies suggested against publication bias. As seen in Figure 1, the overall effect size for acute studies was g=.58 (se=.11, 95%CI=.33 - .78, p<.001;  $Q_6 = 51.51$ , p<.001) which was higher than the effect size for chronic studies at g=.33 (se=.08, 95%CI=.18 - .48, p<.001;  $Q_{14} = 20.79$ , p=.107) seen in Figure 2.

#### Attention and Processing Speed

Six of the 7 acute studies used cognitive tests measuring attention and processing speed. A single session of yoga was associated with moderate improvements in attention and processing speed g=.49 (se=.14, 95%CI=.22 - .77, p<.001). There was significant heterogeneity among the 6 studies ( $Q_5 = 51.46$ , p<.001)

Similar results were observed for 11 of 15 RCTs which used attention and processing speed measures. As seen in Figure 3, the effect size was moderate g=.299 (se=.08, 95%CI=.14 - .46, p=.001). The effect was consistent across all RCTs ( $Q_{10}=14.53$ , p=.150).

# Executive Function

Only 1 of the 7 acute yoga studies examined effects on executive function measures. A significant modest effect was found, g=.39 (se=.13, 95%CI= .14 - .65, p=.003).

On the other hand, 13 of the 15 RCTs examined effects of yoga on executive function measures as seen in Figure 4. The effect size was g=.27 (se=.08, 95% CI =.12 - .42, p=.001) and consistent across all RCTs ( $Q_{12}=16.05$ , p=.189)

#### Memory

The acute effects of yoga on memory were examined in only one published abstract. The effect size was large and significant, g=.78 (se=.10, 95%CI=.59-.98, p<.001).

As in the acute studies, memory was investigated in only 5 of the 15 RCTs. The effect size was marginally significant, g=.18 (se=.09, 95%CI=0.00 - .35, p=.051) and was consistent across the 5 RCTs ( $Q_4=1.87$ , p=.760) as seen in Figure 5.

#### **Discussion**

The purpose of this review was to evaluate in totality the effectiveness of yoga in improving cognitive function including attention and processing speed, executive function and memory. The overall effect sizes were .33 for RCTs and .56 for acute studies suggesting that yoga practice has a modest effect on cognition regardless of cognitive task and participant characteristics. A closer examination of the three domains of cognition suggested specificity of yoga effects that were also unique to the nature of the study (i.e., RCTs vs. acute). The effect sizes for each of the domains were significant except for RCTs examining memory which was

marginally significant. However, taken together, these findings suggest that practice of yoga has the potential to improve specific domains of cognitive functioning. This relationship needs to be systematically studied in the future by overcoming the limitations discussed below and designing methodologically sound and rigorous acute studies and RCTs.

There is merit in investigating both, chronic and acute effects of yoga on cognition. Studies included in this meta-analysis ranged from counterbalanced acute exercise protocols to randomized controlled trials. Among RCTs, attention and processing speed and executive function processes showed the largest benefit from yoga practice. The effect on memory processes was marginally significant. This trend is consistent with the aerobic exercise and cognition literature (65). Acute yoga studies appear to show consistent improvements and stronger effect sizes on cognitive domains in comparison to RCTs. This is also consistent with the physical activity literature where acute aerobic exercise has shown to improve cognition (13,14). However, given the handful of studies, conclusions need to be drawn with caution. One area of future yoga research needs to examine the possibility of its fleeting effects on cognition and include multiple follow up time-points following the acute yoga bout. Additionally, examining the cumulative effects of serial bouts of yoga is warranted.

The foundation of yoga practice lies in the adoption and maintenance of specific body postures and its associated controlled breathing techniques. There are many different forms of yoga; however, all involve physical movement, breathing, and meditative exercises. One of the shortcomings of this literature is insufficient information about the yoga intervention and the proportion of time spent in the practice of each of these common elements. From the studies reviewed here, it appears that the breathing and meditative exercises (particularly: *pranayama* and *kapalabhati*) are consistently associated with improvements in performance on measures of

cognition. The yogic breathing technique of *pranayama* involves a slow deep breath inspired with the predominant use of the abdominal musculature and the diaphragm. The breath is held momentarily in full inhalation within the limits of comfort and concludes with a slow and controlled exhalation. *Kapalabhati* is another breath regulation technique that is a part of Hatha yoga practice. It involves changing the rate and depth, of breathing by high-frequency breathing (i.e., approximately at 2.0 Hz) with forceful exhalation. Indeed, kapalabhati in Sanskrit translates to *kapala* = forehead, *bhati* = shining, which symbolically suggests that this practice stimulates the brain (66). Future work in the field needs to parse out the independent effects of each of these elements involved in the holistic practice of yoga.

Studies that have reported significant improvements in cognition have proposed a number of psychosocial and physiological mechanisms that may underlie the yoga - cognition relationship. Preliminary evidence suggests that yoga has a down regulating effect on both the sympathetic nervous system and the hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis in response to stress (6). Stress, in general, may lead to anxiety and depression, involving chronic sympathetic activation and activation of HPA axis (67). Other analogous mind-body techniques, including mindfulness based stress reduction (68), transcendental meditation (69) and tai-chi (70) have been shown to elicit a similar relaxation response along the sympathetic nervous system and HPA axis activity. Anxiety remains is a critical moderator within the field of cognition because it is associated with adverse effects on two central executive functions involving attentional control: inhibition and set shifting (71). It is plausible that changes in stress-related physiological systems and mood may be among the mechanisms that lead to improved cognitive performance following yoga practice; however, this relationship has yet to be systematically examined within acute studies and RCTs.

In the physical activity-cognition literature, experiencing novel activities (72) and anaerobic interventions have been shown to have unique cognitive benefits on brain structure and function (73). From this neuroscientific perspective, tasks that involve mind wandering. memory consolidation, thought focused inwards to the self (self-referential thinking), and taking the perspective of others into one's own view of the world have been associated with the default mode network (DMN). This is a network of brain regions that are active when the individual is at rest but not focused on the outside world (74,75). There is evidence that supports a relationship between the default mode network and executive function, where increased DMN function has been associated with better working memory performance in young adults (76), and better performance on a range of executive function tasks in older adults (73, 77-79). The breathing and meditative practices of yoga largely parallel the self-referential thoughts and involve learning and consolidation functions that have been associated with the DMN. Future research should explore the structural and functional brain changes as a result of yoga in an effort to identify the neurobiological underpinnings of the yoga-cognition relationship. Although there are no definitive mechanisms studied in the yoga-cognition literature, these models provide a basis for future studies to examine mediators of the yoga-cognition relationship and further establish an evidence base in this area.

Given its simplicity and diverse forms, yoga is easily adaptable for most ages and clinical populations. The sample characteristics in this meta-analysis were diverse ranging from school children to healthy seniors and clinical but cognitively healthy populations. The low intensity and modifiable nature of this exercise maybe the reason why yoga has been primarily perceived as an adjunct therapy for clinical conditions which is evident from the large number of studies conducted with cancer patients (3), diabetics (5), patients with heart related conditions (80) and

arthritis (81). Given the various modifiable forms of yoga and its suitability for individuals with different functional abilities, it appears to be a promising cost effective and feasible mode of activity to promote physical and psychological well-being in older adults. This is evident from the majority of RCTs in this meta-analysis that were conducted with healthy aging older adults. Its potential to sustain cognitive functions and ward off age related cognitive declines need to be fully explored. In spite of its growing popularity, yoga-related injuries have also come into the spotlight suggesting the need to exercise caution during yoga practice. An extensive survey conducted with 1336 yoga therapists, teachers and clinicians across 34 countries found that the most common yoga injuries related to poor technique or alignment, previous injury, excessive effort, and improper or inadequate instruction (82).

The current meta-analysis is challenged by several limitations. The study quality and methodologies differed within the RCTs and acute yoga studies. Different combinations of type, frequency and duration of yoga were employed making it difficult to arrive at an optimal recommendation of yoga for improving cognitive performance. The small number of studies also makes it difficult to conduct moderator analyses to examine effect sizes for different doses of yoga. A majority of the studies were conducted in India where researchers more consistently incorporated breathing and meditative protocols compared to other countries. The heterogeneity of cognitive measures and insufficient descriptions of the yoga interventions for replication are two major limitations that should be addressed in future work. CAM researchers not only need to focus on establishing the link between yoga and cognition, but also examine its effectiveness in comparison to traditional forms of exercise such as walking, cycling and strength training that have been extensively investigated for their cognitive benefits. It would be ideal to ascertain post yoga performance on cognitive tasks used in the physical activity literature and compare these

traditional and non-traditional modalities. At the same time, researchers need to design adequate control groups that control for potential confounders such as social support and attention from research staff and yoga instructors. It is also possible that individuals practicing yoga may have unique outcome expectations that might influence their performance on cognitive tests. Future studies need to account for these factors and design appropriate attentional control groups.

Our findings are preliminary and should be confirmed through higher-quality randomized controlled trials and well-designed acute yoga studies. Research examining the benefits of physical activity has progressed to a point where neuroimaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging are employed to study cognition during task performance (83). In comparison to such state of art methodologies, much needs to be done in the field of yoga and cognition to thoroughly assess the generality or specificity of the cognitive benefits and investigate underlying mechanisms of this holistic mind-body practice. We hope that this meta-analysis will serve as a significant platform for researchers to review the past limitations, estimate sample sizes, design and implement sound yoga protocols and use established cognitive measures in future studies.

#### References

1. United States Department of Health and Human Services. What is Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2013; <a href="http://nccam.nih.gov/health/whatiscam">http://nccam.nih.gov/health/whatiscam</a>.

- 2. Iyengar BKS. Light on Yoga. New York: Schoken Books; 1979.
- 3. Lin KY, Hu YT, Chang KJ, Lin HF, Tsauo JY. Effects of yoga on psychological health, quality of life, and physical health of patients with cancer: a meta-analysis. Evid Based Complementary Altern Med 2011;2011:659876.
- 4. Posadzki P, Ernst E. Yoga for low back pain: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. Clin Rheumatol 2011;30:1257-1262.
- 5. Aljasir B, Bryson M, Al-Shehri B. Yoga practice for the management of type II diabetes mellitus in adults: a systematic review. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2010;7(4): 399-408.
- 6. Ross A, Thomas S. The health benefits of yoga and exercise: a review of comparison studies. J Altern Complement Med 2010;16:3-12.
- 7. Spirduso WW. Reaction and Movement Time as a Function of Age and Physical Activity Level. J Gerontol 1975;30:435-440.
- 8. Angevaren M, Aufdemkampe G, Verhaar HJJ, Aleman A, Vanhees L. Physical Activity and Enhanced Fitness to Improve Cognitive Function in Older People without known Cognitive Impairment. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;3:CD005381.
- Colcombe S, Kramer AF. Fitness Effects on the Cognitive Function of Older Adults: A Meta-analytic Study. Psycholo Sci 2003;14:125-130.
- 10. McAuley E, Kramer AF, Colcombe SJ. Cardiovascular Fitness and Neurocognitive Function in Older Adults: A Brief Review. Brain Behav Immun 2004;18:214-220.

11. Heyn P, Abreu BC, Ottenbacher KJ. The Effects of Exercise Training on Elderly Persons with Cognitive Impairment and Dementia: A Meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004;85:1694-1704.

- 12. Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be Smart, Exercise Your Heart: Exercise Effects on Brain and Cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 2008;9:58-65.
- 13. Tomporowski PD. Effects of acute bouts of exercise on cognition. Acta Psychol 2003;112:297-324.
- 14. Brisswalter J, Collardeau M, René A. Effects of acute physical exercise characteristics on cognitive performance. Sports Med 2002;32:555-566.
- 15. Roland KP, Jakobi JM, Jones GR. Does Yoga Engender Fitness in Older Adults? A Critical Review. J Aging Phys Act 2011;19: 62-79.
- Morone NE, Greco CM. Mind-body Interventions for Chronic Pain in Older Adults: A Structured Review. Pain Med 2007;8:359-375.
- 17. Chiesa A, Calati R, Serretti A. Does mindfulness training improve cognitive abilities? A systematic review of neuropsychological findings. Clin Psychol Rev 2011;31:449-464.
- 18. Canter P, Ernst E. The Cumulative Effects of Transcendental Meditation on Cognitive Function — a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2003;115:758-766.
- 19. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJM, Gavaghan DJ, McQuay HJ. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996;17:1-12.
- Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW. Neuropsychological Assessment. 4th ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2004.

21. Miyake A, Friedman NP, Emerson MJ, Witzki AH, Howerter A, Wager TD. The Unity and Diversity of Executive Functions and Their Contributions to Complex "Frontal Lobe" Tasks: A Latent Variable Analysis. Cogn Psychol 2000;41:49-100.

- 22. Borenstein M, Hedges L, Higgins J, Rothstein H. Comprehensive meta-analysis version2. Englewood, NJ: Biostat; 2005.
- 23. Schmidt FL, Oh IS, Hayes TL. Fixed-versus random-effects models in meta-analysis: Model properties and an empirical comparison of differences in results. Br J Math Stat Psychol 2009;62:97-128.
- 24. Bhatia T, Agarwal A, Shah G, Wood J, Richard J, Gur RE, Gur RC, Nimgaonkar VL, Mazumdar S, Deshpande SN. Adjunctive cognitive remediation for schizophrenia using yoga: An open, non-randomised trial. Acta Neuropsychiatr 2012;24(2):91-100
- 25. Naveen KV, Nagarathna R, Nagendra HR, Telles S. Yoga breathing through a particular nostril increases spatial memory scores without lateralized effects. Psychol Rep 1997;81(2):555-561.
- 26. Barnes BL, Nagarkar S. Yoga education and scholastic achievement. Indian J Clin Psychol 1989;16(2):96-98.
- 27. Sarang SP, Telles S. Immediate Effect of Two Yoga-based Relaxation Techniques on Performance in a Letter-cancellation Task. Percept Mot Skills 2007;105(2):379-385.
- 28. Subramanya P, Telles S. Effect of two yoga-based relaxation techniques on memory scores and state anxiety. Biopsychosoc Med 2009;3(8).
- 29. Galantino ML, Cannon N, Hoelker T, Quinn L, Greene L. Effects of Iyengar yoga on measures of cognition, fatigue, quality of life, flexibility, and balance in breast cancer survivors: a case series. Rehabil Oncol 2008;26:18-27.

30. Galantino ML, Greene L, Daniels L, Dooley B, Muscatello L. O'Donnell L. Longitudinal impact of yoga on chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment and quality of life in women with early stage breast cancer: a case series. Explore (NY) 2012;8(2): 127-135.

- 31. Rocha KKF, Ribeiro AM, Rocha KCF, Sousa MBC, Albuquerque FS, Ribeiro S, Silva RH. Improvement in physiological and psychological parameters after 6months of yoga practice. Conscious Cogn 2012;21(2):843-850.
- 32. Vishal, Singh A, Madhu. A study of the effect of yogic practices on certain psychological parameters. Indian J Clin Psychol 1987;14:80:83.
- 33. Vadiraja HS, Rao MR, Nagarathna R, Nagendra HR, Rekha M, Vanitha N, Gopinath KS, Srinath BS, Vishweshwara MS, Madhavi YS, Ajaikumar BS, Bilimagga SR, Rao N. Effects of yoga program on quality of life and affect in early breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant radiotherapy: a randomized controlled trial. Complement Ther Med 2009;17(5):274-280.
- 34. Peck HL, Kehle TJ, Bray MA, Theodore LA. Yoga as an Intervention for Children With Attention Problems. School Psych Rev 2005;34(3).
- 35. Joshi M, Telles S. A Nonrandomized Non-naive Comparative Study of the Effects of Kapalabhati and Breath Awareness on Event-related Potentials in Trained Yoga Practitioners. J Altern Complement Med 2009;15(3):281-285.
- 36. Kyizom T, Singh S, Singh KP, Tandon OP, Kumar R. Effect of Pranayama & Yoga-asana on Cognitive Brain Functions in Type 2 Diabetes-P3 Event Related Evoked Potential (ERP). Indian J Med Res 2010;131:636-640.
- 37. Sarang SP, Telles S. Changes in P300 following two yoga-based relaxation techniques. Int J Neurosci 2006;116(12);1419-1430.

38. Froeliger B, Garland EL, McClernon FJ. Yoga meditation practitioners exhibit greater gray matter volume and fewer reported cognitive failures: results of a preliminary voxel-based morphometric analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med; 2012:821307.

- 39. Froeliger BE, Garland EL, Modlin LA, McClernon F J. Neurocognitive correlates of the effects of yoga meditation practice on emotion and cognition: a pilot study. Front Integr Neurosci 2012;6:48.
- 40. Froeliger B, Garland EL, Kozink RV, Modlin LA, Chen NK, McClernon FJ, Greeson JM, Sobin P. Meditation-state functional connectivity (msFC): strengthening of the dorsal attention network and beyond. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2012;680407.
- 41. Nangia D, Malhotra R. Yoga, Cognition and Mental Health. J Indian Acad of Appl Psychol 2012;38(2):262-269.
- 42. Blumenthal JA, Emery CF, Madden DJ, George LK, Coleman RE, Riddle MW, McKee DC, Reasoner J, Williams RS. Cardiovascular and behavioral effects of aerobic exercise training in healthy older men and women. J Gerontol 1989;44(5):M147-M157.
- 43. Madden DJ, Blumenthal JA, Allen PA, Emery CF. Improving aerobic capacity in healthy older adults does not necessarily lead to improved cognitive performance. Psychol Aging 1989;4(3): 307-320.
- 44. Manjunath NK, Telles S. Improved performance in the Tower of London test following yoga. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2001;45(3):351-354.
- 45. Oken BS, Kishiyama S, Zajdel D, Bourdette D, Carlsen J, Haas M, Hugos C, Kraemer DF, Lawrence J, Mass M. Randomized Controlled Trial of Yoga and Exercise in Multiple Sclerosis. Neurol 2004;62(11):2058-2064.

46. Oken BS, Zajdel D, Kishiyama S, Flegal K, Haas M, Kraemer DF, Lawrence J, Leyva J. Randomized, Controlled, Six-month trial of Yoga in Healthy Seniors: Effects on Cognition and Quality of Life. Altern Ther Health Med 2006; 12(1):40-47.

- 47. Sharma VK, Das S, Mondal S, Goswami U, Gandhi A. Effect of Sahaj Yoga on neuro-cognitive functions in patients suffering from major depression. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2006; 50(4): 375-383.
- 48. Chattha R, Nagarathna R, Padmalatha V, Nagendra HR. Effect of Yoga on Cognitive Functions in Climacteric Syndrome: A Randomised Control Study. BJOG 2008; 115(8): 991-1000.
- 49. Velikonja O, Čurić K, Ožura A, Jazbec SŠ. Influence of sports climbing and yoga on spasticity, cognitive function, mood and fatigue in patients with multiple sclerosis. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2010;112(7): 597-601.
- 50. Chaya MS, Nagendra H, Selvam S, Kurpad A, Srinivasan K. Effect of yoga on cognitive abilities in schoolchildren from a socioeconomically disadvantaged background: a randomized controlled study. J Altern Complement Med 2012;18(12):1161-1167.
- 51. Bowden D, Gaudry C, An SC, Gruzelier J. A comparative randomised controlled trial of the effects of brain wave vibration training, iyengar yoga, and mindfulness on mood, well-being, and salivary cortisol. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2012; 2012: 234713.
- 52. Bilderbeck AC, Farias M, Brazil IA, Jakobowitz S, Wikholm C. Participation in a 10-week course of yoga improves behavioural control and decreases psychological distress in a prison population. J Psychiatr Res 2013; 47(10): 1438-1445.

53. Hariprasad VR, Koparde V, Sivakumar PT, Varambally S, Thirthalli J, Basavaraddi MVI, Gangadhar BN. Randomized clinical trial of yoga-based intervention in residents from elderly homes: effects on cognitive function. Indian J Psychiatry 2013;55(3);S357-S363.

- 54. Telles S, Singh N, Bhardwaj AK, Kumar A, Balkrishna A. Effect of yoga or physical exercise on physical, cognitive and emotional measures in children: a randomized controlled trial. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2013;7(1):37.
- 55. Gothe N, McAuley E. Yoga practice improves attention in older adults: Preliminary results from a pilot RCT [abstract]. Ann Behav Med 2013;45 (Abstract Supplement):s250.
- 56. Gothe N, McAuley E, Kramer A. The effects of an 8-week Hatha yoga intervention on executive function in older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014;69(9):1109-1116
- 57. Bhavanani AB, Madanmohan, Udupa K. Acute effect of Mukh Bhastrika (a yogic bellows type breathing) on reaction time. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2003;47:297-300.
- 58. Telles S, Raghuraj P, Arankalle D, Naveen KV. Immediate effect of high-frequency yoga breathing on attention. Indian J Med Sci 2008;62:20-22.
- 59. Subramanya P, Telles S. Performance on psychomotor tasks following two yoga-based relaxation techniques. Percept Mot Skills 2009;109:563:576.
- 60. Pradhan B, Nagendra HR. Immediate effect of two yoga-based relaxation techniques on attention in children. Int J Yoga 2010;3:67-69.
- 61. Telles S, Bhardwaj AK, Kumar S, Kumar N, Balkrishna A. Performance in a substitution task and state anxiety following yoga in army recruits. Psychol Rep 2012;110:963-976.

62. Gothe NP, Hillman C, McAuley E. The effect of acute yoga and aerobic exercise on word memory and anxiety [abstract]. BMC Complement Altern Med 2012; 12(Suppl 1):P127.

- 63. Gothe N, Pontifex MB, Hillman CH, McAuley E. The acute effects of yoga on executive function. J Phys Act Health 2013;10:488-495.
- 64. Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG. The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel group randomized trials. BMC Med Res Methodol 2001;1:2.
- 65. Smith PJ, Blumenthal JA, Hoffman BM, Cooper H, Strauman TA, Welsh-Bohmer K, Browndyke JN, Sherwood A. Aerobic exercise and neurocognitive performance: a meta-analytic review of randomized controlled trials. Psychosom Med 2010;72:239-252.
- Niranjananda SS. Prana, Pranayama, Pranavidya. Yoga Publications Trust: Munger, India; 2002.
- 67. Esch T, Stefano GB, Fricchione GL, Benson H. The Role of Stress in Neurodegenerative Diseases and Mental Disorders. Neuroendocrinol Lett 2002; 23(3): 199-208.
- 68. Marcus MT, Fine PM, Moeller FG, Khan MM, Pitts K, Swank PR, Liehr P. Change in stress levels following mindfulness-based stress reduction in a therapeutic community. Addict Disord Their Treat 2003; 2: 63-68.
- 69. MacLean CRK, Walton KG, Wenneberg SR, Levitsky DK, Mandarino JP, Waziri R, Hillis SL, Schneider RH. Effects of the Transcendental Meditation Program on Adaptive Mechanisms: Changes in Hormone Levels and Responses to Stress After 4 Months of Practice. Psychoneuroendocrinology 1997; 22: 277-295.

70. Esch T, Duckstein J, Welke J, Braun V. Mind/body Techniques for Physiological and Psychological Stress Reduction: Stress Management via Tai Chi Training - a Pilot Study. Med Sci Monit 2007; 13: CR488-CR497.

- 71. Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, Calvo MG. Anxiety and Cognitive Performance: Attentional Control Theory. Emotion 2007; 7(2): 336-353.
- 72. Lewis CM, Baldassarre A, Committeri G, et al. Learning sculpts the spontaneous activity of the resting human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2009; 106(41): 17558–17563.
- 73. Voss MW, Prakash RS, Erickson KI, et al. Plasticity of brain networks in a randomized intervention trial of exercise training in older adults. Front Aging Neurosci 2010:2.
- 74. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL. The brain's default network. Ann NY Acad Sci 2008; 1124: 1-38.
- 75. Schilbach L, Eickhoff SB, Rotarska-Jagiela A, et al. Minds at rest? Social cognition as the default mode of cognizing and its putative relationship to the "default system" of the brain. Conscious Cogn 2008; 17: 457-467
- 76. Hampson M, Driesen NR, Skudlarski P, et al. Brain connectivity related to working memory performance. J Neurosci 2006; 26: 13338–13343.
- 77. Andrews-Hanna JR, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, et al. Disruption of large-scale brain systems in advanced aging. Neuron 2007; 56: 924–935.
- 78. Damoiseaux JS, Beckmann CF, Arigita EJS, et al. Reduced resting-state brain activity in the "default network" in normal aging. Cereb Cortex 2008; 18: 1856–1864.
- 79. Persson J, Lustig C, Nelson JK, et al. Age differences in deactivation: a link to cognitive control? J Cognitive Neurosci 2007; 19: 1021–1032.

80. Jayasinghe SR. Yoga in cardiac health (a review). Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2004; 11: 369-375.

- 81. Haaz S, Bartlett SJ. Yoga for arthritis: a scoping review. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2011; 37: 33-46.
- 82. Fishman LM, Saltonstall E, Genis S. Understanding and preventing Yoga injuries. Intl J Yoga Therap 2009; 19: 47-53.
- 83. Dennis NA, Cabeza R. Neuroimaging of healthy cognitive aging. The Handbook of Aging and Cognition 2008;3:1-54.

Table 1.

Study characteristics of the Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) included in the meta-analysis

| First Author (year)  | Sample N<br>(mean age ± sd)                                | Groups  | Type of<br>Yoga                     | Duration of<br>Intervention                         | Cognitive Tests   | Effect Size                     |
|----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Madden<br>(1989)     | Older adults<br>N=85 (66.98 ±<br>4.5)                      | Aerobic n=25<br>Yoga n=28,<br>Waitlist n=26                   | Not<br>described                    | 4 months,<br>2days/week,<br>60minutes/class         | Letter search, Word comparison  | APS: .15                        |
| Blumenthal (1989)    | Older adults<br>N=101 (67 ±<br>4.9)                        | Aerobic n=34<br>Yoga and<br>Flexibility n=34<br>Waitlist n=34 | Not<br>described                    | 4 months,<br>2days/week,<br>60minutes/class         | Stroop, Verbal nonverbal fluency,<br>Trail making B, WAIS - Digit<br>Symbol, WAIS - Digit Span, Benton<br>Visual Retention, Selective<br>Reminding, Randt Memory, 2+7<br>Digits and Letters | APS: .25<br>EF: .07<br>MEM: .08 |
| Manjunath<br>(2001)  | School girls<br>N=20 (age range<br>10 -13 yrs.)            | Yoga n=10<br>Physical Training<br>n=10                        | Hatha Yoga                          | 1 month,<br>7days/week,<br>75 minutes/class         | Tower of London   | EF: 1.17**                      |
| Oken<br>(2004)       | Multiple<br>Sclerosis<br>Patients N=69<br>(49 ± 9.2)       | Yoga n=26<br>Stationary Bike<br>n=21<br>Waitlist n=22         | Iyengar<br>Yoga                     | 6 months,<br>1day/week,<br>90 min/week              | Stroop, CERAD, Letter Number<br>Sequencing, Simple and Choice RT,<br>WCST, Useful Field of View, WAIS-<br>similarities  | APS: .06<br>EF: .10<br>MEM: .34 |
| Oken<br>(2006)       | Healthy Seniors<br>N=135 (72.1 ±<br>4.8)                   | Yoga n=44<br>Walking n=47<br>Waitlist n=44                    | Iyengar<br>Yoga                     | 6 months,<br>1day/week,<br>90 min/week              | Stroop, CERAD, Letter Number<br>Sequencing, Useful Vield of View,<br>Covert Orienting, Simple and Choice<br>RT, WCST  | APS: .23<br>EF: .15<br>MEM: .06 |
| Sharma<br>(2006)     | Adults with depression N=30 (31.77 ± 8.62)                 | Sahaj yoga n=15<br>Standard anti-<br>depressant n=15          | Sahaj Yoga                          | 8 weeks,<br>3days/week,<br>30 minutes/class         | Letter Cancellation, Trail making A & B, Ruff Figural Fluency, WAIS - Digit Span  | APS: .21<br>EF: .04             |
| Chattha (2008)       | Peri-menopausal<br>Women N=108<br>(48.5 ± 3.8)             | Yoga n=59<br>Control n=61                                     | Integrated Approach of Yoga Therapy | 8 weeks, 5days/week, 60minutes/class                | Six letter cancellation   | APS: .77**                      |
| Velinkonja<br>(2010) | Multiple<br>Sclerosis<br>Patients N=20<br>(age range 26-50 | Yoga n=10<br>Sports Climbing<br>n=10                          | Hatha Yoga                          | 10 weeks,<br>1day/week<br>Duration per<br>class not | Neuropsychological assessment<br>battery – Mazes test, Tower of<br>London, Brickenkamp d2 test  | APS: 1.14*<br>EF: .07           |

|                           | yrs.)  |   |                 | reported   |  |                                   |
|---------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Chaya<br>(2010)           | School children<br>N=200 (7.69 ±<br>0.88)                | Yoga n=100<br>Physical Activity<br>n=100                    | Hatha Yoga      | 3 months,<br>6days/week,<br>45 minutes/class   | Malin's Intelligence Scale for Indian<br>Children, Indian adaptation of WISC-<br>II  | APS: .098<br>EF: .05<br>MEM: .14  |
| Bowden (2012)             | Healthy adults<br>N=35 (age not<br>reported)             | Iyengar Yoga n=9 Brain Wave Vibration n=12 Mindfulness n=12 | Iyengar<br>Yoga | 5 weeks,<br>2days/week,<br>75 minutes/class  | 2-back   | EF: .66                           |
| Bilderbeck (2013)         | Prisoners<br>N=167 (36.08±<br>12.14                      | Yoga n=45<br>Control n=55                                   | Hatha Yoga      | 10 weeks,<br>1day/week,<br>120<br>minutes/class  | Go/No-Go   | EF: .39                           |
| Hariprasad<br>(2013)      | Older adults<br>from resident<br>homes (75.62 ±<br>6.91) | Yoga n=68<br>Waitlist n=58                                  | Hatha Yoga      | 6 months total Month 1: supervised 60 minutes daily Month 2-3: supervised 60 minutes weekly Month 4-6: Unsupervised, home practice | Rey's Auditory Verbal Learning, Rey's complex figure, Digit and spatial span, Controlled Oral Word Association, Stroop, Trail Making A & B | APS: .48*<br>EF: .47*<br>MEM: .39 |
| Telles (2013)             | School children<br>N=98 (10.5 ±<br>1.3)                  | Yoga n=49<br>Physical Exercise<br>n=49                      | Hatha Yoga      | 3 months,<br>5days/week,<br>45 minutes/class   | Stroop   | APS: .07<br>EF: .11*              |
| Gothe <sup>+</sup> (2013) | Older adults $N=118$ $(62.0 \pm 5.6)$                    | Yoga n=61<br>Stretching &<br>Strengthening n=57             | Hatha Yoga      | 8 weeks,<br>3days/week,<br>60 minutes/class  | Attention Network, Trail Making A & B, Pattern Comparison  | APS: .299<br>EF: .47              |
| Gothe (2014)              | Older adults<br>N=118<br>$(62.0 \pm 5.6)$                | Yoga n=61<br>Stretching &<br>Strengthening n=57             | Hatha Yoga      | 8 weeks,<br>3days/week,<br>60 minutes/class  | Task switching, N-back, Running<br>Memory Span   | EF: .31                           |

Note: <sup>+</sup>Published abstract, \*p<.05, \*\*p<.001

WAIS = Wechsler's Adult Intelligence Scale, CERAD = Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease, RT = Reaction Time, WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, WISC = Wechsler's Intelligence Scale for Children, APS = Attention and Processing Speed, EF = Executive Function, MEM = Memory

Table 2. Study characteristics of the acute yoga studies included in the meta-analysis

| First<br>Author<br>(year) | Sample N<br>(mean age ± sd)  | Study design                            | Yoga Condition                      | Other<br>Conditions                                    | Duration of<br>Yoga session | <b>Cognitive Tests</b>                                    | Effect Size          |
|---------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Bhavanani<br>(2003)       | Male school<br>children<br>N=22 (14.5 ± 1.25)  | Pre-post                                | Mukh Bhastrika<br>(yogic breathing) | None   | 9 rounds of breathing       | Auditory and<br>Visual reaction<br>time                   | APS: .63*            |
| Telles<br>(2008)          | Young adults<br>N=11 (20.9 ±2.3),<br>Middle age<br>N=48 (30-59),<br>Older adults<br>N=16 (60+) | Repeated<br>Measures<br>counterbalanced | Kapalabhati<br>(yogic breathing)    | Breathing<br>Awareness                                 | Duration not reported       | Six Letter<br>Cancellation                                | APS: .64*            |
| Subramanya<br>(2009)      | Male adults<br>N=57 (26.5 ± 4.6)   | Repeated<br>Measures<br>counterbalanced | Cyclic<br>Meditation                | Supine Rest,<br>Control                                | 22.5 minutes                | Digit-letter Substitution, Letter-copying, Circle-dotting | APS: 1.04*           |
| Pradhan<br>(2010)         | School children<br>N=208<br>(13.84±0.98)   | Repeated<br>Measures<br>counterbalanced | Cyclic<br>Meditation+<br>Hatha Yoga | Supine Rest  | 22.5 minutes                | Six letter cancellation                                   | APS: .05             |
| Telles (2012)             | Male army recruits<br>N=160 (32.0 ±<br>6.35)   | 3 independent groups                    | Hatha Yoga                          | Meditation Music<br>Control,<br>Breathing<br>Awareness | 45 minutes                  | Digit-letter<br>Substitution                              | APS: .06             |
| Gothe <sup>+</sup> (2012) | Female college<br>students<br>N=30 (20.07±1.95)  | Repeated<br>Measures<br>counterbalanced | Hatha Yoga                          | Aerobic Exercise,<br>Control                           | 20 minutes                  | Word Memory   | MEM: .78*            |
| Gothe (2013)              | Female college<br>students<br>N=30 (20.07±1.95)  | Repeated<br>Measures<br>counterbalanced | Hatha Yoga                          | Aerobic Exercise,<br>Control                           | 20 minutes                  | Erikson's<br>Flanker, N-back                              | APS: .17<br>EF: .39* |

Note: \*Published abstract, \*p<.001

APS = Attention and Processing Speed, EF = Executive Function, MEM = Memory

Table 3. Classification of the neurocognitive tests used in acute yoga studies and RCTs by cognitive domain

|               | Attention and Processing Speed         | <b>Executive Function</b>                | Memory  |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| RCTs          |  |  |   |
|               | Simple reaction time (2)               | Stroop interference (3)                  | CERAD delayed recall (2)                      |
|               | Choice reaction time (2)               | WAIS letter number sequencing (2)        | 15-concrete noun word list easy/difficult (2) |
|               | Six letter cancellation (3)            | Wisconsin card sorting task (2)          | Long term word recognition easy/difficult (2) |
|               | WAIS digit symbol substitution (1)     | WAIS similarities (1)                    | Benton visual retention test (1)              |
|               | Ruff 2 and 7 letters and digits (1)    | Useful field of view (2)                 | Immediate story recall (1)                    |
|               | Trail making – part A (2)              | Attention network task - incongruent (1) | Selective reminding (2)                       |
|               | Covert orienting spatial attention (1) | Verbal fluency (1)                       | Word comparison (1)                           |
|               | Attention network task – congruent (1) | Non-verbal fluency (1)                   | Sternberg's memory search task (1)            |
|               | Pattern comparison (1)                 | Trail making – part B (3)                | Rey's complex figure test (1)                 |
|               | Brickenkamp d2 test (1)                | WAIS forward/backward digit span (3)     |   |
|               |  | N-back – 1 and 2 back AC (1)             |   |
|               |  | Running memory span (1)                  |   |
|               |  | Task switching (1)                       |   |
|               |  | Ruff figural fluency (1)                 |   |
|               |  | Go/No-Go task (1)                        |   |
|               |  | Tower of London (1)                      |   |
|               |  | Controlled oral word association (1)     |   |
|               |  | Mazes test (1)                           |   |
| Acute studies |  |  |   |
|               | Erickson's Flanker – congruent (1)     | Erikson's Flanker – incongruent (1)      | Word Memory (1)                               |
|               | N-back – 0 back reaction time (1)      | N-back – 1 and 2 back reaction time (1)  |   |
|               | Six letter cancellation (2)            |  |   |
|               | Digit letter substitution (1)          |  |   |
|               | Letter-copying (1)                     |  |   |
|               | Circle-dotting (1)                     |  |   |
|               | Auditory and visual RT (1)             |  |   |

Note: The number in the parentheses indicates the number of studies that used the test, WAIS = Wechsler's Adult Intelligence Scale, CERAD = Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease, Malin's Intelligence Scale for Indian Children, an adaptation of WISC –II which spans attention and processing speed as well as executive function was also used in one study (Chaya 2010)

Table 4.
Study quality assessment for the RCTs

| Study                     | Random   | Appropriate Randomization | Blinding of | Withdrawals and   | Total Jadad        |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                           | Sampling | Kandomization             | Assessors   | Dropouts reported | score              |
| Madden (1989)             | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Blumenthal (1989)         | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Manjunath (2001)          | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | NR                | 2/4                |
| Oken (2004)               | Yes      | Yes                       | Yes*        | Yes               | 4/4                |
| Oken (2006)               | Yes      | Yes                       | Yes*        | Yes               | 4/4                |
| Sharma (2006)             | No       | Yes                       | NR          | NR                | 1/4                |
| Chattha (2008)            | Yes      | Yes                       | Yes^        | Yes               | 4/4                |
| Velinkonja (2010)         | NR       | Yes                       | Yes         | NR                | 2/4                |
| Chaya (2010)              | Yes      | Yes                       | Yes         | Yes               | 4/4                |
| Bowden (2012)             | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Bilderbeck (2013)         | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Hariprasad (2013)         | Yes      | No <sup>#</sup>           | Yes         | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Telles (2013)             | Yes      | Yes                       | NR          | No°               | 2/4                |
| Gothe <sup>+</sup> (2013) | Yes      | Yes                       | No          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| Gothe (2014)              | Yes      | Yes                       | No          | Yes               | 3/4                |
| <u> </u>                  |          |                           |             | Mean (± SD)       | <b>2.93</b> (±.88) |

Note: NR=Not Reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Published abstract

<sup>\*</sup> Limitation acknowledged by the authors: block randomization without accounting for baseline characteristics

<sup>\*</sup>In spite of precautions, authors reported rare instances of un-blinding

<sup>^</sup>Assessor blinded only at baseline, pre-randomization

<sup>°</sup>Authors report the number of participants who 'could not complete assessments', withdrawals/dropouts not reported

Fig.1. Overall effect of yoga on cognition for the 7 acute yoga studies.

# **Overall Effect Size (Acute studies)**

| Study name      | Statistics for each          | n study | Hedges's g and 95% CI      |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|
|                 | Hedges's Standard<br>g error | p-Value |                            |  |  |
| Bhavnani 2003   | 0.626 0.036                  | <.001   |                            |  |  |
| Telles 2008     | 0.644 0.101                  | <.001   | 🖶                          |  |  |
| Subramanya 2009 | 1.043 0.124                  | <.001   | <del> </del>               |  |  |
| Pradhan 2010    | 0.045 0.104                  | 0.665   |                            |  |  |
| Telles 2012     | 0.060 0.619                  | 0.923   | <del>     </del>           |  |  |
| Gothe 2012*     | 0.782 0.100                  | <.001   | <del> </del>               |  |  |
| Gothe 2013      | 0.283 0.137                  | 0.039   |                            |  |  |
|                 | 0.557 0.114                  | <.001   | •                          |  |  |
|                 |                              |         | -2.00 -1.00 0.00 1.00 2.00 |  |  |
|                 |                              |         | >>>>> Favors Yoga          |  |  |

Fig. 2. Overall effect size for the 15 RCTs.

# **Overall Effect Size (RCTs)**




Fig. 3. Effect of yoga on attention and processing speed (n = 11). Individuals randomized to yoga group exhibited improved attention and processing speed relative to controls g = .299. Each study is denoted with a square, with larger sample sizes corresponding to larger marks.

# **Attention and Processing Speed**

| Study name      | Statistics for each study |                   |         | Hedges's g and 95% CI     |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------------|--|
|                 | Hedges's 3<br>g           | Standard<br>error | p-Value |                           |  |
| Madden 1989     | 0.154                     | 0.269             | 0.566   | -                         |  |
| Blumenthal 1989 | 0.250                     | 0.241             | 0.298   |                           |  |
| Oken 2004       | 0.063                     | 0.303             | 0.835   | —                         |  |
| Oken 2006       | 0.227                     | 0.212             | 0.285   |                           |  |
| Sharma 2006     | 0.206                     | 0.356             | 0.562   |                           |  |
| Chattha 2008    | 0.769                     | 0.188             | <.001   | =                         |  |
| Velinkonja 2010 | 1.141                     | 0.465             | 0.014   | <del></del>               |  |
| Chaya 2010      | 0.098                     | 0.141             | 0.489   | #                         |  |
| Hariprasad 2013 | 0.484                     | 0.216             | 0.025   |                           |  |
| Telles 2013     | 0.072                     | 0.214             | 0.737   | +                         |  |
| Gothe 2013*     | 0.281                     | 0.193             | 0.144   |                           |  |
|                 | 0.299                     | 0.083             | <.001   | ♦                         |  |
|                 |                           |                   |         | -3.00 -1.50 0.00 1.50 3.0 |  |

Fig. 4. Effect of yoga on executive function (n =13). Individuals randomized to yoga group exhibited improved executive function performance g=.267. Each study is denoted with a square, with larger sample sizes corresponding to larger marks.

# **Executive Function**

| Study name      | Statistics for each study |                   |         | Hedges's g and 95% CI      |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|
|                 | Hedges's 3<br>g           | Standard<br>error | p-Value |                            |  |  |
| Blumenthal 1989 | 0.073                     | 0.240             | 0.762   |                            |  |  |
| Manjunath 2001  | 1.724                     | 0.508             | 0.001   |                            |  |  |
| Oken 2004       | 0.101                     | 0.303             | 0.739   | +                          |  |  |
| Oken 2006       | 0.145                     | 0.212             | 0.494   | +                          |  |  |
| Sharma 2006     | 0.035                     | 0.355             | 0.921   |                            |  |  |
| Velinkonja 2010 | 0.065                     | 0.428             | 0.880   | —                          |  |  |
| Chaya 2010      | 0.053                     | 0.141             | 0.706   | #                          |  |  |
| Bowden 2012     | 0.664                     | 0.436             | 0.127   |                            |  |  |
| Bilderbeck 2013 | 0.390                     | 0.213             | 0.067   | =                          |  |  |
| Hariprasad 2013 | 0.465                     | 0.215             | 0.031   | =                          |  |  |
| Telles 2013     | 0.114                     | 0.214             | 0.593   | +                          |  |  |
| Gothe 2013*     | 0.467                     | 0.194             | 0.016   | -                          |  |  |
| Gothe 2014      | 0.312                     | 0.193             | 0.106   |                            |  |  |
|                 | 0.267                     | 0.078             | 0.001   |                            |  |  |
|                 |                           |                   |         | -3.00 -1.50 0.00 1.50 3.00 |  |  |
|                 |                           |                   |         | >>>>> Favors Yoga          |  |  |

Fig. 5.
Effect of yoga on memory (n =5). Individuals randomized to yoga group showed marginally significant improvements in memory performance g=.18. Each study is denoted with a square, with larger sample sizes corresponding to larger marks.

| Memory          |                 |                   |         |                            |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study name      | Statistics      | for each          | study   | Hedges's g and 95% CI      |  |  |  |
|                 | Hedges's 3<br>g | Standard<br>error | p-Value |                            |  |  |  |
| Blumenthal 1989 | 0.078           | 0.240             | 0.744   | –                          |  |  |  |
| Oken 2004       | 0.335           | 0.305             | 0.272   |                            |  |  |  |
| Oken 2006       | 0.057           | 0.211             | 0.787   | ———                        |  |  |  |
| Chaya 2010      | 0.136           | 0.141             | 0.335   |                            |  |  |  |
| Hariprasad 2013 | 0.394           | 0.215             | 0.066   |                            |  |  |  |
| ·               | 0.177           | 0.090             | 0.051   |                            |  |  |  |
|                 |                 |                   |         | -2.00 -1.00 0.00 1.00 2.00 |  |  |  |
|                 |                 |                   |         | >>>>> Favors Yoga          |  |  |  |