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Awareness of Requirement to Teach About Penalties of Underage Sex in Michigan Schools

Sharie Reznich
Central Michigan University

In October of 2003, an amendment to Section 166a (388.1766a) of the State School Aid Act, regarding sex education, went into effect. It states:

That the sex education instruction includes information clearly informing pupils that having sex or sexual contact with an individual under the age of 16 is a crime punishable by imprisonment, and that 1 of the other results of being convicted of this crime is to be listed on the sex offender registry on the internet for at least 25 years. (p.3)

Questions surround the implications of this law. Are schools even aware of the law’s existence? How has this change been communicated to schools? What are the expectations of how the material is presented? What are the most effective ways to communicate this type of information to students? Does this law have an effect on confidentiality issues? The researcher surveyed 200 Michigan school counselors to gather answers to some of these questions as one step in an effort to improve the health and well-being of Michigan students.

Sex education in schools is often an area of controversy. Families, schools, communities and the legislature often disagree on what is the best for children and adolescents. In the 1970s changes were made to Michigan state law to provide for the teaching of sex education and family planning in public schools (T. Bergstrom, personal communication, March 29, 2004). In the 1980s with the increase in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), more legal requirements regarding the teaching of health and sex
education were implemented. The State of Michigan’s State Board of Education published a policy in September 2003 that indicated the importance (and requirement) of schools to work with families and communities for providing sexuality education programs that will influence students to make healthy choices throughout their lives. In October of 2003, an amendment to Section 166a (838.1766a) of the State School Aid Act, regarding sex education, went into effect. It states:

That the sex education instruction includes information clearly informing pupils that having sex or sexual contact with an individual under the age of 16 is a crime punishable by imprisonment, and that one of the other results of being convicted of this crime is to be listed on the sex offender registry on the internet for at least 25 years. (p.3)

Questions surround the implications of this law. Are schools even aware of the law’s existence? How has this change been communicated to schools? What are the expectations of how the material is presented? What are the most effective ways to communicate this type of information to students? Does this law have an effect on confidentiality issues? This study surveyed 200 Michigan school counselors to gather answers to some of these questions as one step in an effort to improve the health and well-being of Michigan students.

What is sex education? The Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS) defines sexuality education as “a lifelong process of acquiring information and forming attitudes, beliefs and values” (2001, p.2). The same article reports on the aspects of sex education in the home, at school, and continues with information about government’s role in sex education (SIECUS).

“The federal government’s involvement in sex education has primarily been to provide funding for education programs” reports the Kaiser Family Foundation (2002, p.1). Since 1988 the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has included funding for HIV education. All states except Ohio and Utah receive this HIV education funding (Kaiser Family Foundation). The federal government has other sex education funding programs, but most education policy is regulated by states individually.

Not all states require sex education. Some states, including Michigan, require HIV/STI instruction but not sex education (Alan Guttmacher Institute, 2004).

In states that offer sex education, there are significant differences between states regarding what subject matter is taught. In Michigan, as in some other states, if sex education is taught, then there are specific requirements regarding the curriculum that is taught (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2002). No record was found of any state other than Michigan having policies concerning the teaching of the penalties of underage sex.

In regard to local school district policy, superintendents cite state directives as the most important factor in determining the sex education curriculum (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2002). Developing sex education curriculum for a district usually involves a representative group of people, including parents, teachers, local health professionals, counselors, and other interested community members. Research has shown that there are some common characteristics for effective sex education programs (Kirby, 2000), but often each of the parties involved has his/her own ideas.

The results of the 2003 Michigan Youth Risk Behavior Survey (Michigan Department of Education, 2004) illustrate that a large population (46%) of high school students are sexually active; therefore there are students who are potentially in violation of the Criminal Sexual Conduct Statutes (1931). As reported at the Ramifications of Underage Sex program (Clulo, Allen, Donker, & Duke, 2004), and as discovered through conversations with area school counselors (personal communications, January-April 2004), many high school students are unaware of many of the laws about underage sexual behavior. This may be one reason the Michigan legislature enacted the law to include informing students of the consequences of underage sex as part of their sex education curriculum.

Research Questions

1. Do students share information with their school counselors about their sexual activity?

2. Do school districts have policies regarding confidentiality and when to report and/or call parents about underage sexual activity?
3. Are school counselors aware of the requirement to teach about the penalties of underage sex?

4. Is there school district policy for communication about new legal requirements for schools?

5. Who is responsible for teaching about the penalties of underage sex in various districts?

**Method**

Questionnaires were sent to 200 Michigan school counselors. The counselors were selected from the Michigan Counseling Association Directory. The names chosen were those listed as secondary school counselors. Participation was voluntary and anonymous. The questionnaire consisted of five questions with space for comments. A stamped pre-addressed envelope was included for ease of return to the researcher. A sample of the questionnaire is in the Appendix.

**Results**

115 completed surveys were returned for a response rate of 59%. Six surveys were returned undeliverable because of incorrect addresses.

Most counselors (84%) surveyed said that students do share information about their sexual activity. There were varied comments to qualify their responses, such as “sometimes,” “occasionally,” or “if they are active and possibly pregnant.” In regards to school district policy about confidentiality and reporting underage sexual activity to parents, results were mixed. 36% of counselors said their school district had a policy, 37% said they did not, and 27% reported they did not know if there was one.

The responses to the question on the survey that asked about the counselor’s awareness of the state requirement for schools to teach about the penalties for underage sex were split; 60% of the counselors surveyed were aware of the law and 40% were not. The issue of knowledge of district policy regarding communication about legal requirements received 25% Affirmative, 25% Negative, and 50% Don’t Know answers.

The final question on the survey asked who was responsible for teaching about the penalties for underage sex. The counselors often chose more than one of the options presented and many offered comments. The responses to this question are shown in Table 2. Some of the comments were: “An outside agency comes into through science class,” “I assume,” “probably discussed in Health class,” and “This year with the new law, sex ed is not being taught at all until further notice.”

**Table 1**

**Survey Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other/ Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do students share information with you about their sexual activity?</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does your school district have a policy regarding confidentiality and when to report and/or call parents about underage sexual activity?</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you (or were you, before receiving this survey) aware of the State of Michigan’s requirement for schools to teach about the penalties for underage sex?</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does your school district have a policy for communication about new legal requirements (of any kind) for schools?</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

**Person Responsible for Teaching Penalties of Underage Sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Health Teacher</th>
<th>Science Teacher</th>
<th>Gym Teacher</th>
<th>Counselor</th>
<th>Administrator</th>
<th>Non-school employee</th>
<th>Nurse</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Who in your district is responsible for teaching about the penalties of underage sex?</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Respondents sometimes chose more than one person when answering this question.
Discussion

The answer to the central question of this whole study is that most (60%) school counselors are aware of the law that requires schools to teach about the penalties of underage sex. That statistic, though, means that 40% of Michigan school counselors are unaware of the law. The law has been in effect since October 2003.

Michigan State Senator Mike Goschka wrote that “To my knowledge, the manner in which school districts learn of changes or proposed changes to legislation that will directly affect them is through their network of lobbyists” (personal communication, March 19, 2005). He indicated he wasn’t sure of the exact channels, whether it would be through the Superintendents’ offices or through ISD / RESDs. Perhaps this issue of communication about new legal requirements is one for administrators, but this particular instance illustrates a reason why the communication should go beyond the administrative staff and those responsible for teaching the specific topic. Counselors are in unique positions in that students often trust them enough to talk about their sexual activity. Even if not legally bound to report underage sexual activity, a counselor would be in an effective position to teach students about the law if they themselves were aware of it.

To answer the question about the most effective ways to communicate this information to students would entail another study, but several ideas were submitted with the survey results. Most often cited was that the legal ramifications of underage sex were taught by health teachers in class. They were offered at various grade levels, but other health class curriculum details were not given. Several counselors said that their districts brought in outside speakers. One was from a women’s aid shelter and spoke of the law in the context of sexual harassment and relationships. That program was presented to sixth, seventh, and eighth grade health classes. Some districts use local law enforcement officials to give lectures to various grade levels, some annually. In Oakland County, a video was produced and distributed to schools.

The most comprehensive program mentioned by counselors returning the surveys appears to be the one developed by the Midland County Court System, Midland County Prosecutor and Midland Public Schools. Their PowerPoint presentation begins:

This program is designed to provide an explanation of the law that defines criminal sexual conduct, or “CSC,” in our state. It reflects the belief of both law enforcement and the judiciary that, by understanding the law, Michigan youth can promote respect for self and others, avoid becoming victims, or unwitting perpetrators, of CSC offenses, and help stem the tide of misinformation about these offenses which often exists among their peers. (April, 2004)

In Midland, the presentation by a panel of local professionals is given to school staff and parents first, and then shown to all eighth graders in the district. Linda Weiss, Deputy Court Administrator and Attorney Referee, 42nd Circuit Court in Midland is a resource for anyone interested in coordinating a program for schools in other areas of the state.

One of the easiest suggestions to implement was to include the law in student handbooks and publish it in district newsletters. Although the law indicates that it needs to be taught or be included with sex education instruction, having it printed and available in various formats would be an additional communication tool.

Does the law have an effect on confidentiality issues? The law per se shouldn’t affect confidentiality, because it is only about the requirement of schools to teach about the consequences of underage sex. The increased awareness and communication about sexual activity and the law by counselors, school staff, students, and parents may bring up confidentiality concerns. The counselors’ answers to the survey question regarding confidentiality and school policy indicates an area many counselors expressed apprehension about. They responded that 27% didn’t know if there was district policy and 37% replied that there was not district policy regarding confidentiality and when to report and/or call parents about underage sexual activity.

One survey respondent replied, “We are required to inform parents-I ask the student to do it to take responsibility.” A few other counselors gave similar comments, illustrating that some districts do have policy that directs counselors to break confidentiality regarding underage sexual activity. Another school district’s policy states that information shared with a counselor does not need to be shared with anyone including parents unless the student’s health or well-being is in danger. District policy can help make this very gray area a little clearer.

From a legal standpoint, Assistant Prosecutor J. D. Brooks of Midland said in most situations, consensual sex
between teenagers does not need to be reported (April, 2004). It is not a violation of the Child Protection Law of which counselors are mandatory reporters. It is important to know the Criminal Sexual Conduct Laws and be able to talk with students about them and the possible consequences of violating them. As reported by Mitchell and Rogers (2003), “if school counselors find themselves knowledgeable of situations where age discrepancies between mutually consenting sexual partners are questionable, they should call their local department of child services or prosecuting attorney and present a hypothetical case describing the circumstances” (p.336).

The question of confidentiality with parents isn’t so clear. Again, if there is district policy, a counselor should refer to it. The American School Counselor Association’s (ASCA) position statement on the professional school counselor and parental consent states, “It is the responsibility of the professional school counselor to reach an agreement with the student concerning the information to be shared with the parent unless there is clear and imminent danger to the student or others as specified in ASCA’s Ethical Standards for School Counselors” (2004, d4). This position could be argued legally, because in most cases, the right to consent belongs to the parent. Behnke and Warner (2002) refer to Ethics Code to reach for the answers in light of the apparent conflict between the law and “good clinical treatment.” When deciding what is in the best interest of the student, it is most important to know the “mandatory reporting requirements and to be liberal in the use of consultation” (p.2).

In all instances, the need for informed consent or clear explanations of the limits of confidentiality can not be overemphasized. Communication of these should be done routinely with students during initial sessions and publicized in student handbooks and other materials that are distributed to parents. A sign posted in the school counselor’s office could be referred to on a regular basis.

**Summary**

The health and well-being of students is a counselor’s top priority. Sexual activity impacts a teenager’s health and well-being. A school counselor is in a unique position in that students often trust them enough to confide in them about their sexual activity; therefore, a counselor needs to be aware of any legal and ethical concerns they may encounter in counseling sexually active students. The law about teaching the penalties of underage sex is just one example of why it is important to keep abreast of new laws and district policies. Involvement with administrators and other school staff in the development of a Sexuality/Health Education curriculum would be valuable for a counselor and the students that he or she serves. Continuing education and consultation with colleagues and supervisors will keep a counselor informed and prepared to meet the special needs of today’s young people.
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Appendix
Survey for Michigan School Counselors

1. Do students share information with you about their sexual activity? Yes____ No____
   Comments______________________________________________________________

2. Does your school district have a policy regarding confidentiality and when to report and/or call parents about underage sexual activity? Yes____ No____ Don’t Know____
   Comments______________________________________________________________

3. Are you (or were you, before receiving this survey) aware of the State of Michigan’s requirement for schools to teach about the penalties for underage sex? Yes____ No____
   Comments______________________________________________________________

4. Does your school district have a policy for communication about new legal requirements (of any kind) for schools? Yes____ No____ Don’t Know____
   Comments______________________________________________________________

5. Who in your district is responsible for teaching about the penalties of underage sex?
   Health teacher____ Science teacher____ Gym teacher____ Counselor____
   Administrator____ Non-school employee____ Don’t Know____
   Comments______________________________________________________________
   Any comments or details about policies or programs that you feel might benefit other districts would be welcome:
   ______________________________________________________________
   ______________________________________________________________
   ______________________________________________________________

Please return in the enclosed stamped addressed envelope
to: ___________________. Thank You!