

5-1-1979

Errata and comments for Elephant Newsletter No. 2

Elephant Editors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/elephant>

 Part of the [Animal Studies Commons](#), [Biology Commons](#), [Environmental Studies Commons](#), [Population Biology Commons](#), and the [Zoology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Shoshani, J. (Ed.). (1979). Errata and comments for Elephant Newsletter No. 2. *Elephant*, 1(3), 51-53. Doi: 10.22237/elephant/1491420402

This Errata is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Journals at DigitalCommons@WayneState. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Elephant* by an authorized editor of DigitalCommons@WayneState.

As such, we think it is very important that it is made accessible to as many people as possible. We also think that if it were published, it would probably be purchased by most zoology departments and zoological gardens, as well as by many scientists and conservation organisations. Since it could be produced in a relatively cheap format, this African elephant bibliography probably possesses a fund-raising potential.

At the moment, the bibliography requires the work of one full-time person, preferably with library experience, to get it into shape. The work would probably take about 3 months and may cost about U.S. \$2,000. This amount, we believe, could more than be recovered by the sale of the book.

The contribution the bibliography could make to science and conservation is great. Any money it raised could be channelled back into conservation. The Elephant Programme is very short of funds and needs sponsorship to get the Elephant Bibliography ready. We don't want to see it go to waste.

ERRATA AND COMMENTS FOR ELEPHANT NEWSLETTER NO. 2

- Page 11. Under IX., 6th and 7th lines. Should be "44" instead of "34."
 Page 14. Additional information to the Table. Sudan: 50,000-133,000; Zambia: 100,000-350,000.
 Page 15. A dark line appeared below the map. This line is not part of the map. It was "whited" in most copies.
 Page 21. Under Longevity. Should be 50-80.
 Page 29. Under Present status, 4th line. Should be "In some parts of their range, elephants are..."
 Page 47. Reference No. 66. "Loxodonta cyclotis" was in the original reference; it should have been "Loxodonta africana cyclotis."
 Page 57. Reference No. 280. Should be "...maximus). Monatsh."
 ----- Reference No. 285. Should be "landscape."
 Page 59. Reference No. 335. Should be "...Wilhelm Soemmerring's..."
 Page 60. Reference No. 355. Should be no period after 1977?"
 Page 61. Reference No. 374. Should be "Civilization."

See also: recent correspondence in this issue.

In addition to the above corrections, there were other minor typographical errors and errors in reference order within a particular author's list of references. These were not significant enough to be included herein.

Readers are encouraged to make us aware of any errors they may encounter while reading Elephant.

CONCERNING OUR READERS...

Readers whose requests have not yet been answered are urged to inform us. We apologize for any inconvenience.

Recipients of Elephant who wish to become Elephant Interest Group members can make their request known in writing. Also, if anyone has had a recent address change, please inform us.